RECEIVED

AUG 1 1 2009 8/11/D 9
ATTORNEY
REGULATION

Colorado Supreme Court 2 East 14th Ave., Fourth Floor Denver, CO 80203	
Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel 2008UPL 25	
Petitioner:	
The People of the State of Colorado,	Supreme Court Case No: 2008SA367
v.	
Respondent:	
Lakhana Ingram.	
ORDER OF COURT	

Upon consideration of the Petition for Injunction, the Order and Rule to Show Cause, the Proof of Service, the Motion to Proceed, the Motion to Quash Motion to Proceed Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 12(b) the Reply to Respondent's Motion to Quash Motion to Proceed, the Order Appointing Hearing Master and the Report of Hearing Master Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 236(a) filed in the above cause, and now being sufficiently advised in the premises,

IT IS THIS DAY ORDERED that said Respondent, LAKHANA INGRAM shall be and the same hereby is ENJOINED from the unauthorized practice of law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondent is assessed costs in the amount of \$91.00. Said costs to be paid to the Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel, within one hundred eighty (180) days of the date of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondent, LAKHANA INGRAM REFUND Anna Silva-Souza the amount of \$3,444.00; Fabio Pacheco the amount of \$3,016.00; Camila Stefli the amount of \$3,760.00; Sabrina Adams the amount of \$2,405.00; and Vivian Nakachima the amount of \$7,335.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondent, LAKHANA INGRAM pay the total amount of \$19,960.00 in \$300.00 monthly payments to be divided pro rata to each of the persons listed within thirty (30) days of the date of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said payments shall be made directly to each of the persons listed and shall continue each month until the total amount is paid.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said Respondent, LAKHANA INGRAM shall provide proof of the monthly payments to the People within ten (10) days of each payment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this court WAIVES any fines in this matter pursuant to C.R.C.P. 236(a).

BY THE COURT, AUGUST 10, 2009.



Case Number: 2008SA367

Caption: People v Ingram, Lakhana

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies mailed via the State's Mail Services Division on August 11, 2009.

Anna Silva-Souza P.O. Box 11933 Aspen, CO 81612 Camila Stefli 85 Raccoon Court Carbondale, CO 81623

Fabio Pacheco Rua T-41#475 Casa 03, Setor Bueno Goiania, Goias, Brazil 74210 James S Sudler III OFFICE OF ATTORNEY REGULATION 1560 Broadway Ste 1800 Denver, CO 80202

Peter A Rachesky DEFIANCE LAW FIRM, PC Po Box 1459 Glenwood Springs, CO 81602 Sabrina Adams 8294 E. 24th Dr. Denver, CO 80236

Vivian Nakachima 99 Cloud Nine Lane Aspen, CO 81611 William R Lucero PRESIDING DISIPLINARY JUDGE 1560 Broadway Ste 675 Denver, CO 80202

3

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING IN UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE 1560 BROADWAY, SUITE 675 DENVER, CO 80202

RECEIVED

JUL 2 8 2009

ATTORNEY REGULATION

Case Number: **08SA367**

Petitioner:

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,

Respondent:

LAKHANA INGRAM.

REPORT OF HEARING MASTER PURSUANT TO C.R.C.P. 236(a)

This matter is before the Presiding Disciplinary Judge ("PDJ") on an "Order Appointing Hearing Master" issued by the Colorado Supreme Court ("Supreme Court") on January 28, 2009. The Supreme Court remanded this matter to the PDJ "for a report which should include Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations" pursuant to C.R.C.P. 234(f).

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

James S. Sudler, Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel ("the People"), filed a "Petition for Injunction" with the Supreme Court on November 6, 2008. On November 12, 2009, the Supreme Court issued an "Order and Rule to Show Cause" and ordered Lakhana Ingram ("Respondent") to answer in writing and show cause within twenty days "why she should not be enjoined from engaging in the unauthorized practice of law in the state of Colorado."

On January 8, 2009, the People filed a "Motion to Proceed" after Respondent failed to answer the Supreme Court's show cause order. Peter A. Rachesky, counsel for Respondent, filed a "Motion to Quash Motion to Proceed Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 12(b)" on January 12, 2009. The People replied to the motion to quash on January 21, 2009. The Supreme Court denied the motion to quash, granted the motion to proceed, and remanded the matter to the PDJ on January 28, 2009.

On April 27, 2009, following several continuances, the PDJ held an At-Issue Conference to establish a uniform, court-supervised procedure involving case management. Mr. Sudler appeared on behalf of the People and Mr. Rachesky appeared by telephone on behalf of Respondent. The PDJ scheduled this matter for a hearing to be held on July 28, 2009.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

On July 13, 2009, the parties tendered a "Stipulation, Agreement and Affidavit Consenting to Order of Injunction" to the PDJ. For purposes of this report, and in the interests of judicial economy, the PDJ accepted the following findings of fact set forth in the stipulation.

Respondent is not licensed to practice law in the State of Colorado nor is she an accredited non-lawyer immigration services provider. Nevertheless, Respondent admits that she held herself out to be an attorney, selected and prepared immigration forms, and provided legal advice about immigration matters to various people in the State of Colorado. She therefore engaged in the unauthorized practice of law in at least five client matters as fully detailed in the People's petition for injunction.

III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The parties stipulated to an order of injunction in this matter as well as an order requiring that Respondents pay costs and refunds specifically set forth in paragraph 4c of the stipulation. The PDJ reviewed the stipulation, and in the interests of judicial economy, **RECOMMENDS** that the Supreme Court **ACCEPT** it and **ENJOIN** Respondent from the unauthorized practice of law and order her to pay costs and refunds.

The PDJ **RECOMMENDS** that the Supreme Court order Respondent to pay **COSTS** in the amount of \$91.00 within one hundred eighty (180) days of the Supreme Court's order of injunction.

The PDJ **RECOMMENDS** that the Supreme Court order Respondent to **REFUND** Anna Silva-Souza the amount of \$3,444.00; Fabio Pacheco the amount of \$3,016.00; Camila Stefli the amount of \$3,760.00, Sabrina Adams the amount of \$2,405.00; and Vivian Nakachima the amount of \$7,335.00. The PDJ **RECOMMENDS** that the Supreme Court allow Respondent to pay the total amount of \$19,960.00 in \$300.00 monthly payments to be divided *pro rata* to each of the persons listed to commence thirty (30) days after the Supreme Court's order of injunction. The payments shall be made directly to each of the persons listed and shall continue each month until the total amount is paid. Respondent shall also provide proof of the monthly payments to the People within ten (10) days of each payment.

Finally, the PDJ **RECOMMENDS** that the Supreme Court **WAIVE** any **FINES** in this matter pursuant to C.R.C.P. 236(a).¹

¹ See C.R.C.P. 236(a) (A report from the Presiding Disciplinary Judge approving the parties' stipulation to injunction may be exempt from a fine).

DATED THIS 27TH DAY OF JULY, 2009.

WILLIAM R. LUCERO

PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE

Copies to:

James S. Sudler Via Hand Delivery
Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel

Lakhana Ingram Respondent 768 East 16th Avenue Eugene, OR 97401 Via First Class Mail

Susan Festag Colorado Supreme Court Via Hand Delivery